



Virtual Special Issue – Proceedings of the First Pan-Pacific International Conference on Topology and Applications (PPICTA)

## On pairwise $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces <sup>☆</sup>



Kedian Li <sup>a</sup>, Shou Lin <sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou 363000, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ningde Normal University, Ningde 352100, PR China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 5 January 2016

Received in revised form 14 October 2016

Accepted 23 October 2016

Available online 24 August 2017

#### MSC:

primary 54E55

secondary 54E20, 54C08, 26A15

#### Keywords:

Bitopological spaces

Quasi-metrizable spaces

Pairwise  $g$ -functions

Pairwise stratifiable spaces

Pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces

Semi-continuous functions

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, the concept of a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space is introduced and studied. Some characterizations of pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces by means of pairwise  $g$ -functions and semi-continuous functions are given. A new characterization of quasi-pseudo-metrizable spaces is obtained by using pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces, which improves a theorem of Marín in [17].

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.

## 1. Introduction

The study of bitopological spaces was first initiated by Kelly [8]. Since then many works on bitopological spaces have been done by several authors: Datta [1], Fletcher et al. [3], Ganster and Reilly [4], Kovár [9], Künzi and Mushaandja [10], Lane [11], Marín [17], Raghavan and Reilly [20], Srivastava and Bhatia [21] and others. A large number of papers have been done, in order to generalize the topological concepts to the bitopological setting. In [10], Künzi and Mushaandja obtained characterizations of some topological ordered spaces and generalized metric spaces. It is well known that stratifiable spaces form one of interesting classes of generalized metric spaces. This notion has been generalized to bitopological spaces [6], and many properties have been extended. Marín and Romaguera [18] introduced the notion of monotonically normal bitopological

<sup>☆</sup> The project is supported by the NNSF (Nos. 11471153, 61379021, 11571158) and the NSF of Fujian Province of China (No. 2013J01029).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: likd56@126.com (K. Li), shoulin60@163.com (S. Lin).

spaces (or pairwise monotonically normal spaces) which is a useful generalization of pairwise stratifiable spaces, and characterized pairwise monotonically normal spaces in terms of a mixed condition of insertions and extensions of semi-continuous functions. It is well known that a topological space is stratifiable if and only if it is monotonically normal and semi-stratifiable [7]. A bitopological space is pairwise stratifiable if and only if it is pairwise monotonically normal and pairwise semi-stratifiable [18]. In [12] (resp. [14]), Li (resp. Li and Lin) gave some characterizations of pairwise stratifiable spaces (resp. pairwise semi-stratifiable spaces) by means of pairwise  $g$ -functions and semi-continuous functions. In this paper, we introduce the notion of pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable bitopological spaces, which is a generalization of pairwise stratifiable spaces, and every pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space is pairwise semi-stratifiable. Our main purpose is to address the following question.

**Question 1.1.** *How to characterize pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces in terms of a mixed condition of insertions and extensions of semi-continuous functions?*

In Section 2, we give a result on the characterizations of pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces similar to that of stratifiable spaces in [12] and pairwise semi-stratifiable spaces in [14]. This result provides an answer to Question 1.1. We then give some applications of this result. In Section 3, we show that a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-pseudo-metrizable if and only if it is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable and each  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space. This is a generalization of the following main theorem in [17]: A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-pseudo-metrizable if and only if it is pairwise stratifiable and each  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space.

Throughout this paper, all topological spaces are  $T_1$ .

By a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , it is meant a nonempty set  $X$  equipped with two topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . In the rest of the paper, when we are concerned at the topologies  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$ , we suppose  $i, j = 1, 2$ , and  $i \neq j$ . Put  $\tau_i^c = \{X - O : O \in \tau_i\}$ , and write  $\text{cl}_{\tau_i} A$  for the closure of  $A$  in the topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$ . The set of all positive integers is denoted by  $\mathbb{N}$ . We refer the readers to [2,16] for undefined terms.

A real-valued function  $f$  defined on a topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$  is  $\tau_i$ -lower (resp.  $\tau_i$ -upper) semi-continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and each real number  $r$  with  $f(x) > r$  (resp.  $f(x) < r$ ), there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $f(x') > r$  (resp.  $f(x') < r$ ) for every  $x' \in U$ . We write  $LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  (resp.  $USC_{\tau_i}(X)$ ) for the set of all real-valued  $\tau_i$ -lower (resp.  $\tau_i$ -upper) semi-continuous functions on  $X$  into  $I = [0, 1]$ . Let  $f$  be a real-valued  $\tau_i$ -lower (resp.  $\tau_i$ -upper) semi-continuous function defined on a topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$ , then  $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq r\} \in \tau_i^c$  (resp.  $\{x \in X : f(x) \geq r\} \in \tau_i^c$ ) for any real number  $r$ .

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . We write  $\chi_A$  for the characteristic function on  $A$ , that is, a function  $\chi_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\chi_A \in USC_{\tau_i}(X)$  if and only if  $A \in \tau_i^c$ , and  $\chi_A \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  if and only if  $A \in \tau_i$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *pairwise stratifiable* [6] if for each  $A \in \tau_i^c$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), one can assign a sequence  $\{D_{ij}(n, A)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -open sets ( $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ) such that

- (1)  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{ij}(n, A) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_i} D_{ij}(n, A)$ ;
- (2) if  $A \subseteq E \in \tau_i^c$ , then  $D_{ij}(n, A) \subseteq D_{ij}(n, E)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *pairwise semi-stratifiable* [19] if  $D_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) satisfies (2) and

- (1')  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{ij}(n, A)$  for each  $A \in \tau_i^c$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable* if for each  $A \in \tau_i^c$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), one can assign a sequence  $\{D_{ij}(n, A)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -open sets ( $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ) such that

- (1)  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{ij}(n, A)$ ;
- (2) if  $A \subseteq E \in \tau_i^c$ , then  $D_{ij}(n, A) \subseteq D_{ij}(n, E)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3) if  $K$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact in  $X$  with  $K \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $K \cap D_{ij}(m, A) = \emptyset$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It follows from Definition 1.3 that a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable if and only if there exists an operator  $D_{ij} : \mathbb{N} \times \tau_i^c \rightarrow \tau_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) satisfying the conditions (1) ~ (3) in Definition 1.3.

We may assume that the operator  $D_{ij}$  is monotonic with respect to  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,  $D_{ij}(n + 1, A) \subseteq D_{ij}(n, A)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $A \in \tau_i^c$ .

The following lemma, included for convenience, is clearly just another way of stating the definition.

**Lemma 1.4.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable if and only if for each  $U \in \tau_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), one can assign a sequence  $\{F_{ij}(n, U)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\tau_j$ -closed sets ( $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ) such that

- (1)  $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{ij}(n, U)$ ;
- (2) if  $U \subseteq V \in \tau_i$ , then  $F_{ij}(n, U) \subseteq F_{ij}(n, V)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3) if  $K$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact in  $X$  with  $K \subseteq U$ , then  $K \subseteq F_{ij}(m, U)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We may assume that the operator  $F_{ij} : \mathbb{N} \times \tau_i \rightarrow \tau_j^c$  is monotonic with respect to  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,  $F_{ij}(n, U) \subseteq F_{ij}(n + 1, U)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $U \in \tau_i$ .

## 2. Characterizations of pairwise $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces

First, we give some characterizations of pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable spaces by using pairwise  $g$ -functions. A *pairwise  $g$ -function* on a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pair of functions  $(g_1, g_2)$  such that for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $g_i : \mathbb{N} \times X \rightarrow \tau_i$  satisfies  $x \in g_i(n, x)$  and  $g_i(n + 1, x) \subseteq g_i(n, x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this paper we put  $g_i(n, A) = \bigcup \{g_i(n, x) : x \in A\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and each  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** For a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , consider the following conditions:

- (1)  $X$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable;
- (2) there exists a pairwise  $g$ -function  $(g_1, g_2)$  which satisfies that for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ :
  - (i) if  $F \in \tau_i^c$ , then  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_j(n, F)$ ;
  - (ii) if  $K$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact in  $X$  and  $F \in \tau_i^c$  with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $K \cap g_j(m, F) = \emptyset$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3) there exists a pairwise  $g$ -function  $(g_1, g_2)$  which satisfies that for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ , each  $x \in X$  and two sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  of  $X$ , if  $x_n \in g_j(n, y_n)$  and  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x$ , then  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x$ .

Then (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), and (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) if every  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a  $T_2$ -space.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space and  $D_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) operators on  $X$  which satisfy the conditions (1) ~ (3) in Definition 1.3. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $g_j(n, x) = \bigcap_{k \leq n} D_{ij}(k, \{x\})$ . Then  $(g_1, g_2)$  is a pairwise  $g$ -function on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  satisfying the required conditions.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose (2) holds. For each  $F \in \tau_i^c$ , each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ , define  $D_{ij}(n, F) = g_j(n, F)$ , then  $D_{ij}(n, F) \in \tau_j$ . The function  $D_{ij} : \mathbb{N} \times \tau_i^c \rightarrow \tau_j$  defined in this way is a pairwise

$k$ -semi-stratifiable operator in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . In fact, the conditions (1) and (2) in [Definition 1.3](#) clearly hold. We prove that the condition (3) in [Definition 1.3](#) is also true. If  $K$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact in  $X$  and  $F \in \tau_i^c$  with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $K \cap g_j(m, F) = \emptyset$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $K \cap D_{ij}(m, F) = \emptyset$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space and  $F_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) operators on  $X$  which satisfy the conditions (1)  $\sim$  (3) in [Lemma 1.4](#). For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $x \in X$ , let  $g_j(n, x) = X - F_{ij}(n, X - \{x\})$ . Then  $(g_1, g_2)$  is a pairwise  $g$ -function on  $X$  satisfying the required conditions. In fact, let  $x_n \in g_j(n, y_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converge to  $x \in U \in \tau_i$ . We can assume  $x_n \in U$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the  $\tau_i$ -compact subset  $\{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq F_{ij}(m, U)$  by the condition (3) in [Lemma 1.4](#). Therefore, for all  $n \geq m$ ,  $x_n \in F_{ij}(n, U) - F_{ij}(n, X - \{y_n\})$ , thus  $U \not\subseteq X - \{y_n\}$  by the condition (3) in [Lemma 1.4](#), i.e.,  $y_n \in U$ . This shows that  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x$ .

Next, assume that  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a  $T_2$ -space for each  $i = 1, 2$ . We will prove that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose there exists a pairwise  $g$ -function  $(g_1, g_2)$  which satisfies the condition (3) in the theorem. Let  $F \in \tau_i^c$ , and define  $D_{ij}(n, F) = g_j(n, F)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ . Then  $D_{ij}(n, F) \in \tau_j$ . We will verify that  $D_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) are operations on  $X$  which satisfy the conditions (1)  $\sim$  (3) in [Definition 1.3](#). In fact, it is clear that (2) holds. For (1), we need only prove that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{ij}(n, F) \subseteq F$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{ij}(n, F)$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $y_n \in F$  such that  $x \in g_j(n, y_n)$ . Since the constant sequence  $\{x_n = x\}$  with  $x_n = x$   $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x$ , by [Theorem 2.1\(3\)](#),  $\{y_n\}$  also  $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x$ . As  $F \in \tau_i^c$ , we conclude that  $x \in F$ . For (3), let  $K$  be  $\tau_i$ -compact in  $X$ ,  $F \in \tau_i^c$  and  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ . If  $K \cap g_j(n, F) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exist two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $x_n \in K \cap g_j(n, y_n)$  and  $y_n \in F$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a  $T_2$ -space and  $K$  is a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset of  $X$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_k}\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x \in K$ , thus  $\{y_{n_k}\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x \in F$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Next, we characterize the pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiability of bitopological spaces by means of extensions of semi-continuous functions.

**Theorem 2.2.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable if and only if for each partially ordered set  $(\mathbb{H}, \leq)$  and a map  $H_i : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \tau_i^c$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) satisfying the following (1) and (2), there is a map  $G_j : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \tau_j$  with (1) and (2) for  $G_j$  such that  $H_i(n, h) \subseteq G_j(n, h)$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ),  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, h) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, h)$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ , and the following (3) holds.*

- (1)  $H_i(n+1, h) \subseteq H_i(n, h)$  for each  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2) for every  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ , if  $h_1 \leq h_2$ , then  $H_i(n, h_2) \subseteq H_i(n, h_1)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3) if  $K$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact in  $X$ , and  $K \cap H_i(n, h) = \emptyset$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \cap G_j(m, h) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space and an operator  $F_{ij}$  be defined by [Lemma 1.4](#) for every  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ . We show that the map  $G_j : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \tau_j$  defined by  $G_j(n, h) = X - F_{ij}(n, X - H_i(n, h))$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem. By the properties of  $F_{ij}$  and  $H_i$ , one can easily verify that the conditions (1) and (2) hold for  $G_j$ . By the condition (1) in [Lemma 1.4](#), the equality  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{ij}(n, V) = V$  holds for all  $V \in \tau_i$ , and therefore, we have  $F_{ij}(n, V) \subseteq V$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $H_i(n, h) \in \tau_i^c$ , then  $X - H_i(n, h) \in \tau_i$  and  $X - H_i(n, h) \supseteq F_{ij}(n, X - H_i(n, h))$ , so  $H_i(n, h) \subseteq G_j(n, h)$  for each  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We show that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, h) \supseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, h)$  for each  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ . If  $x \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, h)$ , then  $x \notin H_i(m_0, h)$  for some  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently,  $x \in F_{ij}(m_0, X - H_i(m_0, h))$  for some  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $X - H_i(m_0, h) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{ij}(n, X - H_i(m_0, h))$ . Let  $m = \max\{m_0, m_0\}$ . Then

$$x \in F_{ij}(m_0, X - H_i(m_0, h)) \subseteq F_{ij}(m, X - H_i(m_0, h)) \subseteq F_{ij}(m, X - H_i(m, h)).$$

Since  $F_{ij}(m, X - H_i(m, h)) \cap G_j(m, h) = \emptyset$ , then  $x \notin G_j(m, h)$ , so  $x \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, h)$ , which proves  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, h) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, h)$  holds for all  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ .

We shall show that (3) holds. Let  $K$  be  $\tau_i$  compact in  $X$  with  $K \cap H_i(n, h) = \emptyset$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ . Since  $K \subseteq X - H_i(n, h)$ , by Lemma 1.4,  $K \subseteq F_{ij}(k_1, X - H_i(n, h))$  for some  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $k = \max\{n, k_1\}$ . Then

$$K \subseteq F_{ij}(k_1, X - H_i(n, h)) \subseteq F_{ij}(k, X - H_i(k, h)) = X - G_j(k, h).$$

Hence  $K \cap G_j(k, h) = \emptyset$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $\mathbb{H} = \tau_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and define a partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  by  $h_1 \leq h_2 \Leftrightarrow h_1 \subseteq h_2$  for each pair  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ . We consider the map  $H_i : \mathbb{N} \times \tau_i \rightarrow \tau_i^c$  defined by  $H_i(n, U) = X - U$  for each  $U \in \tau_i$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . One can easily verify that  $H_i$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in the theorem. So there is a map  $G_j : \mathbb{N} \times \tau_i \rightarrow \tau_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ , such that the conditions (1)  $\sim$  (3) hold for  $G_j$ . Moreover,  $H_i(n, V) \subseteq G_j(n, V)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $V \in \tau_i$ , and  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, V) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, V)$ . Let  $F_{ij}(n, V) = X - G_j(n, V)$ . Then the sequence  $\{F_{ij}(n, V)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 1.4. In fact, it is clear that the condition (2) holds in Lemma 1.4. Since  $H_i(n, V) \subseteq G_j(n, V)$ , we have

$$F_{ij}(n, V) = X - G_j(n, V) \subseteq X - H_i(n, V) = V$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} V &= X - \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, V) = X - \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, V) \\ &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X - G_j(n, V)) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{ij}(n, V). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the condition (1) holds in Lemma 1.4.

For a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset  $K \subseteq U \in \tau_i$ , since  $K \cap H_i(n, U) = K - U = \emptyset$ , then  $K \cap G_j(m, U) = \emptyset$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  by the condition (3) of our assumption, i.e.,  $K \subseteq F(m, U)$ . Therefore the condition (3) holds in Lemma 1.4. Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space.  $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be the set  $LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  or  $USC_{\tau_i}(X)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Define a partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  for each pair  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{H}$  by  $h_1 \leq h_2 \Leftrightarrow h_1(x) \leq h_2(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable if and only if for each function  $f \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), one assigns a function  $h(f) \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$  ( $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ) such that*

- (1)  $0 \leq h(f) \leq f$  and  $0 < h(f)(x) < f(x)$  whenever  $f(x) > 0$ ;
- (2)  $h(f) \leq h(f')$  whenever  $f \leq f'$ ;
- (3) if  $K$  is a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset of  $X$  and there exists  $f \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  such that  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in K$ , then  $h(f)(x) > r$  for some  $0 < r < 1$  and all  $x \in K$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), let

$$H_i(n, f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq 1/2^{n-1}\}.$$

Then  $H_i(n, f) \in \tau_i^c(X)$ . So a map  $H_i : \mathbb{N} \times LSC_{\tau_i}(X) \rightarrow \tau_i^c$  is defined by the equality and it is easy to verify that  $H_i$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 2.2. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable

space, there exists a map  $G_j : \mathbb{N} \times LSC_{\tau_i}(X) \rightarrow \tau_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ) such that the conditions (1) ~ (3) in [Theorem 2.2](#) hold for  $G_j$ . By the conditions in [Theorem 2.2](#), we have

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, f) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}. \quad (*)$$

Now, let  $\alpha(n, f) = \chi_{G_j(n, f)}$ . Since  $G_j(n, f) \in \tau_j$ , we have  $\alpha(n, f) \in LSC_{\tau_j}(X)$ . Let

$$h(f)(x) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, f)(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, f) \in LSC_{\tau_j}(X)$  by [\[22, Theorem 2.4\]](#), so  $h(f) \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$ .

We shall show that the map  $h$  defined above satisfies the conditions (1) ~ (3) in the theorem. Take any  $x \in X$ . If  $f(x) = 0$ , then  $x \in H_i(n, f) \subseteq G_j(n, f)$  and so  $\alpha(n, f)(x) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by [\(\\*\)](#). Therefore,

$$h(f)(x) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, f)(x) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 0.$$

If  $f(x) > 0$ , then  $x \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_j(n, f)$  by [\(\\*\)](#). Let  $k = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \notin G_j(n, f)\}$ . Then for all  $n < k$ ,  $x \in G_j(n, f)$  and so  $\alpha(n, f)(x) = 1$ . But  $x \notin G_j(k, f)$ , and so  $x \notin H_i(k, f)$  by  $H_i(k, f) \subset G_j(k, f)$ . This implies that  $f(x) > 1/2^{k-1}$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, f)(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, f)(x) + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, f)(x) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $h$ , we have  $0 < h(f)(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} < f(x)$ . Therefore, (1) holds.

Next, we shall show that  $h(f_1) \leq h(f_2)$  whenever  $f_1 \leq f_2$ . Suppose that  $f_1 \leq f_2$ , then  $G_j(n, f_2) \subseteq G_j(n, f_1)$ , and so  $\alpha(n, f_2) \leq \alpha(n, f_1)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from the definition of  $h$  that  $h(f_1) \leq h(f_2)$ . Therefore, (2) holds.

It remains to show that the condition (3) holds. Let  $K$  be a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset of  $X$ , and suppose that there exists an  $f \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  such that  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then  $K \cap (\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_i(n, f)) = \emptyset$  by [\(\\*\)](#). Since  $K$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \cap H_i(n_0, f) = \emptyset$ . By the condition (3) of [Theorem 2.2](#), there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \cap G_j(m, f) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\alpha(m, f)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in K$ , so  $\alpha(n, f)(x) = 0$  for all  $n \geq m$  and all  $x \in K$ . Take

$$2r = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Then  $0 < r < 1$  and for each  $x \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h(f)(x) &= 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, h)(x) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(n, h)(x) \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^n} > r. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that there is a map  $h : LSC_{\tau_i}(X) \rightarrow USC_{\tau_j}(X)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) that satisfies the conditions (1)  $\sim$  (3) in the theorem. For any fixed  $V \in \tau_i$ , we consider the function  $f_V = \chi_V$ . Then  $f_V \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  and so  $h(f_V) \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ , let

$$F_{ij}(n, V) = \{x \in X : h(f_V)(x) \geq 1/2^n\}.$$

Then a map  $F_{ij} : \mathbb{N} \times \tau_i \rightarrow \tau_j^c$  is defined by the equality above. We shall show that the map  $F_{ij}$  satisfies the conditions (1)  $\sim$  (3) in Lemma 1.4.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ , if  $x \notin V$ , then  $f_V(x) = 0$ . Also  $x \notin F_{ij}(n, V)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by the condition  $0 \leq h(f_V) \leq f_V$  in the theorem. Hence  $F_{ij}(n, V) \subseteq V$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{ij}(n, V) \subseteq V$ . Conversely, for each  $x \in V$ , we have  $f_V(x) = \chi_V(x) = 1 > 0$ , and so  $h(f_V)(x) > 0$  by the condition (1) given in the theorem. Hence there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h(f_V)(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^m}$ , which implies that  $x \in F_{ij}(m, V)$ . Therefore,  $V \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{ij}(n, V)$ . Hence the condition (1) in Lemma 1.4 holds.

If  $U, V \in \tau_i$ , and  $U \subseteq V$ , then  $f_U \subseteq f_V$ . Also  $h(f_U) \leq h(f_V)$  by the condition (2) given in the theorem. Thus  $F_{ij}(n, U) \subseteq F_{ij}(n, V)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, the condition (2) holds in Lemma 1.4.

Suppose that a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset  $K \subseteq U \in \tau_i$ . Then  $f_U = \chi_U \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  and  $f_U(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in K$ . By (3) of our assumption, there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h(f_U)(x) > 1/2^{m_0}$  for all  $x \in K$ , then  $K \subseteq F_{ij}(m_0, U)$ . Hence  $F_{ij}$  satisfies all conditions in Lemma 1.4, so  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable if and only if for each pair  $(A, U)$  of subsets of  $X$  with  $A \in \tau_j^c, U \in \tau_i$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) and  $A \subseteq U$ , there is an  $h_{A,U} \in LSC_{\tau_j}(X)$  such that*

- (1)  $A = h_{A,U}^{-1}(0), X - U = h_{A,U}^{-1}(1)$ ;
- (2)  $h_{A,U} \geq h_{B,V}$  whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \tau_j^c$  and  $U \subseteq V \in \tau_i$ ;
- (3) if  $K$  is a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset with  $K \subseteq U$ , then there exists some  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $h_{\emptyset,U}(x) < r$  for all  $x \in K$ .

**Proof.** Necessity. Suppose that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space. Then, by Theorem 2.3, there is a map  $h : LSC_{\tau_i}(X) \rightarrow USC_{\tau_j}(X)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) that satisfies the conditions (1)  $\sim$  (3) in Theorem 2.3. For each pair  $(A, U)$  of subsets of  $X$  with  $A \in \tau_j^c, U \in \tau_i$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ) and  $A \subseteq U$ , let  $f_A = 1 - \chi_A, g_U = h(\chi_U)$ . Since  $A \in \tau_j^c$  and  $U \in \tau_i$ , we have  $\chi_A \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$  and  $\chi_U \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$ . Therefore,  $f_A \in LSC_{\tau_j}(X)$  and  $g_U \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$ . Define  $h_{A,U} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $h_{A,U} = \frac{f_A}{1+g_U}$ . Then  $h_{A,U} \in LSC_{\tau_j}(X)$  by Proposition 2.2 in [22]. It is easy to verify that  $h_{A,U} \geq h_{B,V}$  whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \tau_j^c$  and  $U \subseteq V \in \tau_i$ .

From the definition of  $h_{A,U}$ , one can see that  $h_{A,U}(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in A$ , which implies that  $A = h_{A,U}^{-1}(0)$ . Similarly, we can verify that  $X - U = h_{A,U}^{-1}(1)$ .

Let  $K$  be a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset with  $K \subseteq U \in \tau_i$ . Then  $\chi_U \in LSC_{\tau_i}(X)$  and  $\chi_U(x) > 0, x \in K$ . Thus  $h(\chi_U)(x) > t$  for some  $t > 0$  and all  $x \in K$  by (3) in Theorem 2.3. Let  $r = \frac{1}{1+t}$ , then  $0 < r < 1$  and  $h_{\emptyset,U}(x) < r$  for all  $x \in K$ .

Sufficiency. For each  $U \in \tau_i$  and  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $f_U = 1 - h_{\emptyset,U}$ . Then for every  $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ,  $f_U \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$ , and  $f_U \leq h_V$  when  $U \subseteq V \in \tau_i$ . It is easy to verify that  $f_U(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \notin U$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, j, i = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ , let  $F_{ij}(n, U) = \{x \in X : f_U(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^n}\}$ , and hence  $F_{ij}(n, U) \in \tau_j^c$  by  $f_U \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$ . To prove that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable, it suffices to show that the  $F_{ij}$  satisfies the conditions (1)  $\sim$  (3) in Lemma 1.4. This can be done by a method similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable if and only if for every  $i = 1, 2$  and  $U \in \tau_i$  in  $X$  there is  $f_U \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$  for every  $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ , such that*

- (1)  $X - U = f_U^{-1}(0)$ ;
- (2)  $f_U \leq f_V$  whenever  $U \subseteq V \in \tau_i$ ;
- (3) if  $K$  is a  $\tau_i$ -compact subset with  $K \subseteq U$ , then there exists some  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $f_U(x) > r$  for all  $x \in K$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space. For every  $i = 1, 2$  and  $U \in \tau_i$ , let  $f_U = 1 - h_{\emptyset, U}$ , where  $h_{\emptyset, U}$  is the function given in Corollary 2.4. Obviously, for every  $j = 1, 2$  and  $j \neq i$ ,  $f_U \in USC_{\tau_j}(X)$  satisfies the conditions (1) ~ (3) in the corollary. The sufficiency has been proved in Corollary 2.4.  $\square$

### 3. Quasi-pseudo-metrizability and pairwise $k$ -semi-stratifiability

In [15], some characterizations on the quasi-metrizability of a bitopological space are given by means of pairwise weak base  $g$ -functions, which generalize some metrization theorems on topological spaces. Marín [17] obtained some quasi-pseudo-metrization by using pairwise stratifiable and  $\gamma$ -spaces. In this section, we shall characterize the quasi-pseudo-metrizability of a bitopological space by using pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable and  $\gamma$ -spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable and  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a first countable space for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable.*

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space and  $D_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ) operators on  $X$  which satisfy the conditions (1) ~ (3) in Definition 1.3. We show that  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_i} D_{ij}(n, A)$  for each  $A \in \tau_i^c$ . It is enough to prove that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_i} D_{ij}(n, A) \subseteq A$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_i} D_{ij}(n, A)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_x = \{B_{n,x} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a decreasing local base of neighborhoods at  $x$  in  $(X, \tau_i)$ . Since  $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau_i} D_{ij}(n, A)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $x_n \in B_{n,x} \cap D_{ij}(n, A)$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to  $x$ . Put  $K_m = \{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \geq m\}$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $K_m$  is  $\tau_i$ -compact and  $K_m \cap D_{ij}(n, A) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (3) in Definition 1.3,  $K_m \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from  $A \in \tau_i^c$  that  $x \in A$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise stratifiable.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** [17, Theorem 4] *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-pseudo-metrizable if and only if it is pairwise stratifiable and each  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

Since every  $\gamma$ -space is first-countable [5], we obtain the following result by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 3.3.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is quasi-pseudo-metrizable if and only if it is pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable and  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space for each  $i = 1, 2$ .*

It is well known that each Fréchet and  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space is a stratifiable space [16, Theorem 3.4.3], where a space  $X$  is said to be Fréchet if, for each  $A \subseteq X$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$ , there is a sequence in  $A$  converging to  $x$  in  $X$ . The following question is posed.

**Question 3.4.** *Is a pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  a pairwise stratifiable space if  $(X, \tau_i)$  is a Fréchet space for each  $i = 1, 2$ ?*

#### Addendum

Question 3.4 was answered affirmatively by Kedian Li and Jiling Cao, see Theorem 4.2 in [13].



## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referee, who gives a series of essential suggestions which deal with terminology, contents, and expression in English of the paper.

## References

- [1] M.C. Datta, Paracompactness in bitopological spaces and an application to quasi-metric spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 8 (1977) 685–690.
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] P. Fletcher, H.B. Hoyle III, C.W. Patty, The comparison of topologies, *Duke Math. J.* 36 (1969) 325–331.
- [4] M. Ganster, I.L. Reilly, On pairwise paracompactness, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. A* 53 (1992) 281–285.
- [5] G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, in: K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan (Eds.), *Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology*, Elsevier Science Publishers, 1984, pp. 423–501.
- [6] A. Gutiérrez, S. Romaguera, On pairwise stratifiable spaces, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* 31 (1986) 141–150 (in Spanish).
- [7] R.W. Heath, D.J. Lutzer, P.L. Zenor, Monotonically normal spaces, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 178 (1973) 481–493.
- [8] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 13 (1963) 71–89.
- [9] M.M. Kovár, A note on Raghavan–Reilly’s pairwise paracompactness, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 24 (2000) 139–143.
- [10] H.-P.A. Küenzi, Z. Mushaandja, Topological ordered  $C$ - (resp.  $I$ -) spaces and generalized metric spaces, *Topol. Appl.* 156 (2009) 2914–2922.
- [11] E.P. Lane, Bitopological spaces and quasi-uniform spaces, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 17 (1967) 241–256.
- [12] K. Li, Characterizations of pairwise stratifiable spaces, *Acta Math. Sci.* 30A (3) (2010) 649–655 (in Chinese).
- [13] K. Li, J. Cao, Pairwise  $k$ -semi-stratifiable bispaces and topological ordered spaces, *Topol. Appl.* 222 (2017) 139–151.
- [14] K. Li, F. Lin, On pairwise semi-stratifiable spaces, *J. Math. Res. Appl.* 33 (2013) 607–615.
- [15] K. Li, S. Lin, Quasi-metrizability of bispaces by weak bases, *Filomat* 27 (6) (2013) 949–954.
- [16] S. Lin, *Generalized Metric Spaces and Mappings*, second edition, China Science Publishers, Beijing, 2007 (in Chinese).
- [17] J. Marín, Weak base and quasi-pseudo-metrization of bispaces, *Topol. Appl.* 156 (2009) 3070–3076.
- [18] J. Marín, S. Romaguera, Pairwise monotonically normal spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.* 32 (1991) 567–579.
- [19] J.A. Martín, J. Marín, S. Romaguera, On bitopological semi-stratifiability, in: *Proc. XI J. Hispano-Lusas Mat.*, vol. 2, 1986/87, pp. 243–251 (in Spanish).
- [20] T.G. Raghavan, I.L. Reilly, A new bitopological paracompactness, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. A* 41 (1986) 268–274.
- [21] A. Srivastava, T. Bhatia, On pairwise  $R$ -compact bitopological spaces, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 98 (2006) 93–96.
- [22] E. Yang, P. Yan, Function characterizations of semi-stratifiable spaces, *J. Math. Res. Exposition* 26 (2006) 213–218.